Cucurbitaceae 2010

14–18 November 2010 Francis Marion Hotel Charleston, South Carolina

Conference Program and Schedule



We would like to thank our sponsors for their generous and ongoing support of Cucurbitaceae 2010

Diamond Sponsors Syngenta Seeds Inc. Harris Moran Seed Company

Gold Sponsors Monsanto Vegetable Seeds Division Valent U.S.A. Corporation

Silver Sponsor Nunhems Netherlands BV

Bronze Sponsor OriGene Seeds



Cucurbitaceae 2010

Conference Schedule (subject to change)

Sunday, 14 November

2:00–6:00 pm 5:30–7:00 pm	Registration Open Registration Counter Welcome Reception Gold Ballroom
Monday, 15 Nov	ember
7:00–7:45 am	Continental Breakfast Carolina B
8:00–10:00 am	General Session
8:00 am	Welcome & Announcements Judy A. Thies
8:10 am	U.S. Vegetable Laboratory, USDA, ARS, Charleston, SC Richard L. Fery
8:20 am	Clemson University, Charleston, SC Anthony P. Keinath
8:30 am	<i>Keynote:</i> "Cucurbitaceae" Comes to the USA Claude E. Thomas (see page 9 of progam)
9:00 am	<i>Keynote:</i> From Native Plants in Central Europe to Cultivated Crops Worldwide: The Emergence of <i>Didymella bryoniae</i> as a Cucurbit Pathogen Anthony P. Keinath (see page 10 of progam)
10:00–10:30 am	BreakPrefunction A
10:30 am–12:00 pm	Cucurbit Rootstock and Grafting Symposium
10:30 am	Optimization of Agrotechnology for Grafted Melons in Israel: Pathological and Physiological Aspects Roni Cohen*, Asaf Porat, Menahem Edelstein, Shimon Pivonia, Amnon Koren, Shimshon Omer, and Nabil Omari
10:45 am	An Overview on Watermelon Grafting in Turkey Halit Yetişir*, Nebahat Sari, İlknur Solmaz, and K. Savas Titiz
11:00 am	Cow Watermelon (<i>Citrullus lanatus</i> var. citroides) Lines are Useful Rootstocks for Managing Root-knot Nematodes in Grafted Watermelon Judy A. Thies*, Jennifer J. Ariss, Richard L. Hassell, Steve Olson, Chandrasekar S. Kousik, and .Amnon Levi
11:15 am	Utilizing Cucurbit Grafting in Eco-Sustainable Strategies under Biotic and Abiotic Stresses A.A. Glala
11:30 am	New Watermelon (<i>Citrullus lanatus</i>) Grafting Method to Eliminate Rootstock Side Shoots Richard L. Hassell
11:45 am	Discussion
12:00–1:30 pm	Lunch On Your Own
1:30 –2:45 pm	Cucurbit Rootstock and Grafting Symposium
1:30 pm	Greenhouse and Field Evaluation of Multiple Virus Resistant <i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> Lines Potentially Useful for Watermelon Rootstocks KS. Ling*, A. Levi, C.S. Kousik, R. Hassell, A. Keinath, G. Miller, and S. Adkins
1:45 pm	Effect of Crown Rot Caused by <i>Phytophthora capsici</i> on Cucurbit Rootstocks and Grafted Watermelon Chandrasekar S. Kousik*, Ryan Donahoo, and Richard Hassell

2:00 pm	Using Cucurbita Rootstocks as Biological Filters to Prevent Toxic Element Penetratic Plants and Fruits Menahem Edelstein*, Zvi Plaut, and Meni Ben-Hur	n into
2:15 pm	Impact of Grafting on NAC Gene Expression in <i>Citrullus colocynthis</i> and <i>C. lanatus</i> during Drought Ying Si, Ying Huang, K.K. Kang, and Fenny Dane*	
2:30 pm	Discussion	
2:45–3:15 pm	Break	Prefunction A
3:15–5:00 pm	Plant Pathology Moderators: Ales Lebeda and Benny Bruton	Carolina A
3:15 pm	Bacterial Spot (<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>cucurbitae</i>): A Serious Threat to the Pumpkin Industry in Illinois M. Babadoost* and A. Ravanlou	
3:30 pm	On the Reliability of <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>niveum</i> Research: Do We Need Standardized Testing Methods Benny D. Bruton*, Wayne W. Fish, Elisabetta Vivoda, Brad Gabor, and Kathryne L. Everts	
3:45 pm	Fungicide Applications to the Soil during the Growing Season for the Control of Melo Sudden Wilt Caused by <i>Monosporascus cannonballus</i> Shimon Pivonia, Amikam Maduel, Rahel Levita, and Roni Cohen*	n
4:00 pm	Prevalence of Virus Diseases of <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the Un Kathryne L. Everts*, Karen Rane, Gerald Brust, and Barbara Scott and Mark VanGessel	ited States
4:15 pm	Susceptibility of Cucurbit Species to Gummy Stem Blight and Their Suitability for Reproduction by <i>Didymella bryoniae</i> Anthony Keinath	
4:30 pm	Temporal Population Dynamics of <i>Pseudoperonospora cubensis</i> A. Lebeda*, J. Hübschová, and J. Urban	
4:45 pm	Factors That Influence Exposure Rates and Transmission of <i>Erwinia tracheiphila</i> in <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> Andrew Stephenson	
5:30–6:30 pm	Pickling Cucumber Improvement Committee	Carolina A
6:30–7:30 pm	Cucurbit Genetics Cooperative	Carolina A
	Dinner Own Your Own	
Tuesday, 16 N	ovember	
6:30–7:15 am	Continental Breakfast	Carolina B
7:30–4:30 pm	Full Day Tour (Lunch Provided)	
5:00–6:00 pm	Squash Research Group	Carolina A
6:00–7:00 pm	Cucurbit Crop Genetics Committee	Carolina A

Wednesday, 17 November

7:00–7:45 am	Continental BreakfastCarolina B
8:00–10:00 am	Breeding and GeneticsCarolina A Moderators: Jordi Garcia-Mas & Nebahat Sari
8:00 am	Search for Higher Resistance to the New Race of Downy Mildew in Cucumber Adam D. Call* and Todd C. Wehner
8:15 am	Genetic Variance Estimation for Yield and Some Fruit Traits of Melon Using Diallel Cross Analysis Nadia M. Omar, Ahmed A. Glala, and Sara E.I. El-Dessouky*
8:30 am	Genetic Mapping of Chromosomal Segments Introgressed in Cultivated Watermelon Genome Amnon Levi*, W. Patrick Wechter, Kai-shu Ling, Judy A. Thies, and Don Hopkins
8:45 am	Sequencing the Genome of Melon (<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.) A. Benjak, G. Mir, M. Bourgeois, P. Arús, J. Garcia-Mas*, V. Gonzalez, P. Puigdomènech, M. Álvarez-Tejado, M. Droege, and L. Du

9:00 am	The Unique Mitochondrial Genetics of Cucumber Claudia Calderon, Michael J. Havey*, Sulieman Al-Faifi, Grzegorz Bartoszewski, and Stefan Malepzy		
9:15 am	A Glance at Microsatellite Motifs from 454 Sequencing Reads of Watermel Padmavathi Nimmakayala, Amnon Levi, Sathish K. Ponniah, Yan Tomason, Gopin Patrick Wechter, and Umesh K. Reddy*	lon Genomic DNA ath Vajja,	
9:30 am	Efficient Breeding Methods for Yield in Watermelon: A Review Mahendra Dia, and Todd C. Wehner		
9:45 am	Amino Acid Catabolism into Aroma Volatiles in Melon (<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.) F Itay Gonda, Einat Bar, Vitaly Portnoy, Shery Lev, Joseph Burger, Arthur A. Schaffer Nurit Katzir, Efraim Lewinsohn*, Shimon Gepstein, and James J. Giovannoni	ruit r, Ya'akov Tadmor,	
10:00–10:30 am	Break	Prefunction A	
10:30 am	National Watermelon Promotion Board: International Activities	Carolina A	
10:45 am–12:00	Biotechnology, Physiology, and Genetics	Carolina A	
10:45 am	Effect of Floral-primordia Targeted Ethylene Production on Sex Determinat (<i>Cucumis melo</i> L.) Jessica Taft*, Holly Little, Sue Hammar, and Rebecca Grumet	tion in Melon	
11:00 am	Transcriptomic Analysis of Cucumber Fruit Development Kaori Ando and Rebecca Grumet*		
11:15 am	Sugar Accumulation and Enzyme Activities in an Acidic Hami Melon Cultiva Mi Tang, Bao-cai Zhang, Zhi-long Bie*, Ming-zhu Wu, Hong-ping Yi, and Jong-xin F	ar ⁻ eng	
11:30 am	Digital Transcriptomics of Melon Developing Fruit Vitaly Portnoy, Sheri Lev, Rotem Harel-Beja, Galil Tzuri, Tamar Lahav, Joseph Burg Uzi Saʻar, Efraim Lewinsohn, Yaakov Tadmor, Nurit Katzir*, Alex Diber, Sara Polloc	ger, k, and Arthur A. Schaffer	
11:45 am	Obtaining Tetraploid Watermelon Plants by In Vivo and In Vitro Methods Sezen Inan and Nebahat Sari*		
12:00–1:30 pm	Lunch On Your Own		
1:30–3:00 pm	Germplasm, Breeding, and Genetics Moderators: Elzbieta Kozik & Rebecca Grumet	Carolina A	
1:30 pm	Genetic Diversity in Watermelon Germplasm using SSR Markers Yunyan Sheng, Feisi Luan*, and Faxing Zhang		
1:45 pm	The Utility of a Database and Photographic Record of <i>Cucurbita Herbariur</i> . Thomas C. Andres* and Michael H. Nee	n Specimens	
2:00 pm	Multiple Flowering as an Adaptation of Summer Squash for Growing in Pro Harry S. Paris	otected Culture	
2:15 pm	Association Mapping of Fruit Traits and Phytomedicine Contents in a Struc Population of Bitter Melon (<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.) Chittaranjan Kole*, Bode A. Olukolu, Phullara Kole, and Albert G. Abbott	ctured	
2:30 pm	New Sources of Resistance to Downy Mildew in Cucumber Urszula Klosinska, Elzbieta U. Kozik*, Adam Call, and Todd C. Wehner		
2:45 pm	New Relatives of Cucumber and Melon from Australia Patrizia M. Sebastian* and Susanne S. Renner		
3:00–5:00 pm	Poster Session	Carolina B	
5:00–6:00 pm	Watermelon Research Group	Carolina A	
6:00–7:00 pm	Melon Breeders Group	Carolina A	
7:30–8:00 pm	Pre-banquet GatheringL	obby Bar/Colonial Foyer	

8:30–10:30 pm	Banquet, Awards Ceremony, and Dancing	ı			
	Presentation of Cucurbitaceae 2010 Lifetime Achievement Awards to:				
	Claude E. Thomas, Research Plant Pathologist and Research Leader, U.S. Vegetable Laboratory, USDA, ARS (retired), Charleston, SC, USA				
	Donald Hopkins, Plant Pathologist, University of Florida, Apopka, FL, USA				
	Nurit Katzir, Geneticist and Research Director, Newe Ya'ar Research Center, Ramat Yishay, Israel				
	Gary Elmstrom, Plant Breeder, Sun Seeds (retired), Acampo, CA, USA				
	Tom Williams, Plant Breeder, Rogers-Syngenta Seeds (retired), Naples, FL, USA				
Thursday, 18 I	November				
7:00–7:45 am	Continental BreakfastCarolina E	3			
8:00–10:00 am	Breeding and Genetics	١			
8:00 am	A Yellow-orange-flesh Melon Mutant Accumulating Tetra-cis-lycopene Instead of ß-Carotene as its Major Fruit Carotenoid Yaakov Tadmor*, Yosi Burger, Tamar Lavee, Ayala Meir, Galil Tzuri, Vitaly Portnoy, Einav Shimoni-Shor, Uzi Sa'ar, Fabian Baumkoler, Efraim Lewinsohn, Nurit Katzir, and Arthur A. Schaffer				

- 8:15 am Changes of Protective Enzyme Activities in Seedling Stages of Watermelon Exposed to *Phytophthora capsici* Yong-Qi Wang*, Xian Zhang, Feng Xian, and Wen-Chao Zhu
- 8:30 am Cucumber Phylogeny, Genetics and Genomics as of 2010 Yigun Weng
- 8:45 am Papaya Ringspot Virus Resistance in Selected F2:3 Families of Tropical Pumpkin Does Not Support a Single Recessive Gene Model Robert McPhail-Medina, Linda Wessel-Beaver*, Jose Carlos V. Rodrigues, and Andrés E. Velázquez-Márquez
- 9:00 am International Watermelon Genomics Initiative (IWGI): Advance and Orientation Yong Xu*, Shaogui Guo, Zhangjun Fei, Haiying Zhang, Yi Ren, Hong Zhao, Guiyun Lv, Guoyi Gong, Qinghe Kou, Xiaohua Zou, Hui Wang, and Wenju Hou
- 9:15 am Polymorphism Analyses of Watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) Mapping Parents Using RAPD and ISSR Molecular Markers Xing P. Yang*, X. Lin Hou , G. Liu, J. Hua Xu , and C. Zhou Gao
- 9:30 am A Novel Inbred Squash Line Developed from Interspecific Crosses between *Cucurbita maxima* and *Cucurbita moschata* Nakkanong Korakot, Jinghua Yang, Mingfang Zhang*, Feihua Ye, and Yi Lin
- 9:45 am Resistance to Cucumber Mosaic Cucumovirus (CMV) in Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) is Governed by One Gene and Two QTLS
 Ana Montserrat Martín-Hernández*, Cèlia Guiu Aragonés, Jordi Garcia-Mas, and Antonio J. Monforte
- - 11:00 am Cucurbits—A Paradise of Begomoviruses? R.W. Briddon, M.S. Haider, and M. Tahir*
- 11:15 am-12:30 Business Meeting and Closing Remarks Carolina A

*Denotes Presenting Author

—Keynote Address—

Cucurbitaceae Comes to the USA

CLAUDE E. THOMAS

USDA, ARS, U.S. Vegetable Laboratory (retired) Charleston, SC 29414 USA

In the United States, the Cucurbitaceae meeting began as a copy of the EUCARPIA sponsored meetings of the same name, which every four years brought together cucurbit researchers primarily from Europe and the Mediterranean areas. Several of us from the USA attended the Cucurbitaceae 88 meeting in Avignon, France and were so impressed by its content, approach, and attendance that we decided that such a meeting would be very useful to the cucurbit scientific community in the USA. So those of us who had attended Cucurbitaceae 88, Tom Williams, Dave Groff, Jim McCreight, Kristina M-Ladd, Jon Waterson and I decided to give it a try in this country. We saw its value in bringing together scientists from various discipline areas who were engaged in research on cucurbit crops. We hoped to attract strong attendance not only from USA scientists, but also from the international scientific community. Since we settled on Charleston, SC as the location for the meeting and I lived in Charleston and worked at the U.S. Vegetable Laboratory, I ended up as chairman of the Organizing Committee for Cucurbitaceae 89.

That first meeting in Charleston was held November 20 through December 2, 1989, about 18 months after the EUCARPIA sponsored meeting in Avignon. Looking back, we were somewhat foolhardy to think we could pull together a worthwhile international meeting in such a short period. Especially since that was back in a time when professional societies did not offer to handle the particulars of such meetings. Therefore, we did it all ourselves, everything related to the meeting: announcements, hotel and food arrangements, selection of invited speakers, solicitation of support from the seed industry, editing and printing the proceedings, arranging tours, registration, etc. From my standpoint, I was most fortunate at the time to have Ellis Caniglia working in my project and she was a tremendous help in all the things that needed to be done and consequently was a major contributor to the success of the meeting. Of course all of our labors were hampered by the major recovery effort required to deal with the devastation wrought when hurricane Hugo blew into Charleston on September 21, 1989 at high tide with 135 mph winds and a 13- to 14-foot storm surge. With less than two months until the meeting, we struggled along for over a month with a portable generator providing only the most essential electrical services to our laboratory-office building. However, the outcome of our efforts was a successful meeting that brought together 92 scientists from the USA, Europe, the Mediterranean area, and Asia.

I believe that aside from the hard work put forth in planning and conducting this first Cucurbitaceae meeting in the USA, it was also successful because it came at just the right time in this country for such a commodity-oriented research meeting on cucurbits. Up through the 1970s, most southern and some western and Midwestern state universities had one or more cucurbit breeders on their staffs, but by the mid-1980s most of these positions no longer existed, because when these classical plant breeders retired, if they were replaced, it was usually with newly trained, biotech oriented scientists. All aspects of classical plant breeding with cucurbit crops, including prebreeding, were becoming more and more the sole responsibility of the seed companies. There were a couple of strong commodity groups (pickles and watermelon), but their meetings were not primarily aimed at research needs or in-depth discussions of on-going problem solving research. The usefulness of the Cucurbitaceae meeting was that it involved many scientific disciplines, bringing together in an intensely focused setting researchers that normally had little contact or interaction in the various scientific society meetings of their different discipline areas. It also enhanced and promoted interaction between researchers in the public and private sectors by extending the spheres of professional contacts between these cucurbit researchers. The resultant heightened awareness of the research efforts of fellow cucurbit scientists increased interactions among these researchers and accelerated the progress of research on cucurbit crops. Overall, the success of the initial Cucurbitaceae 89 meeting in the USA is best attested by its perpetuation at approximately four-year intervals since that first meeting with even better and more strongly attended Cucurbitaceae meetings.

Welcome back to Charleston!

From Native Plants in Central Europe to Cultivated Crops Worldwide: The Emergence of *Didymella bryoniae* as a Cucurbit Pathogen

ANTHONY P. KEINATH

Clemson University

Charleston, South Carolina, 29414 USA

Additional Index Words. seed, Bryonia alba, Cucumis sativus, Citrullus lanatus

Didymella bryoniae (Auersw.) Rehm, 1881 was first described in 1869 from *Bryonia* (bryony or wild hops) in central Europe. Today, this cucurbit pathogen is found on six continents on at least 12 genera and 23 species of cucurbits. How did *D. bryoniae* evolve from a pathogen of a native plant in central Europe to a worldwide threat to cucurbits cultivated in humid environments? Clues from the early discoveries of this fungus, its characteristics as a seedborne pathogen, and its broad adaptation to cucurbit hosts will provide some answers to this question.

Early Reports of D. bryoniae and Gummy Stem Blight

Both Bernhard Auerswald and Karl W. G. L. Fuckel, working independently, described *Didymella bryoniae* (Auersw.) Rehm in 1869 from specimens collected on the cucurbit *Bryonia* growing in Germany. Although neither mycologist assigned the fungus to the correct genus, both coined the species name "bryoniae," following the convention that the specific epithet of the fungus should be taken from the genus of the plant host (Table 1). Because Auerswald's report was published in 1869 and Fuckel's report was not published until 1870, Auerswald's name has priority according to most mycologists.

The earliest collection and report of *D. bryoniae* on a cultivated cucurbit is from *Cucumis melo* L. in Italy, collected in an unknown year and described as *Didymella melonis* Pass. by Giovanni Passerini in 1885 (Corlett, 1981) (Table 1). The next reports on cultivated cucurbits came from cucumber in France by Casimir Roumeguère and from watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai] in Delaware, United States; both were published (independently) in 1891 (Chester, 1891; Roumeguère, 1891 in Corlett, 1981). According to Chiu and Walker (1949), the cucumber grown in France was a Chinese variety. Frederick Chester (1891), a plant pathologist, noted that the disease had already occurred "for some years past" and resulted in "widespread financial disaster wherever it gains foothold." Both authors observed only pycnidia on their specimens, since they named their pathogens *Ascochyta cucumis* Fautr. & Roum. and *Phyllosticta citrullina* Chester with generic names of anamorphic fungi combined with new species names based on the particular host. Another collection of the pycnidial state also was made in 1891, when William Dudley found *D. bryoniae* on cucumber in a greenhouse on the Cornell University campus. Several years later, *D. bryoniae* was found in the Midwestern United States, again on cucumber, by the botanist and plant pathologist Augustine Selby (Table 1). In the first decade of the 20th century, additional collections and reports appeared from additional states in the USA and Puerto Rico (Farr and Rossman, 2010).

It is interesting to note that i) the earliest collections of D. bryoniae were on Bryonia and ii) pseudothecia were present in each case. In contrast, the collections in the 1890s were all from cultivated cucurbits, on which either only pycnidia had formed or the collectors overlooked pseudothecia. Because a variety of names have been assigned to this fungus, it took several more decades until the connection was made between D. brvoniae and its asexual state. Whether D. bryoniae spread from Bryonia to vegetable cucurbits cannot be determined, since there were no early collections of the fungus from both hosts in the same location. More likely, with the increased knowledge of basic plant pathology, gummy stem blight came to be recognized as a disease of cucurbits caused by a fungus. That this happened independently during this period can be seen from the variety of names assigned to the pathogen. Thus, botanists, mycologists, and plant pathologists discovered D. bryoniae because it attracted their attention in their localities, not because they had read reports in the literature.

In the meantime, one of the early hosts, *B. alba* (white byrony), was introduced into the United States several times in the 19th century as an ornamental and medicinal plant. Subsequently, it escaped from cultivation and became established in the Pacific Northwest (Novak and Mack, 1995). Currently, *B. alba* is present in Washington, Idaho, Montana, and Utah; in Washington, it is

Table 1. The 1700 concentrity of nost brant material with bseudomeeta of brendud of branche of vonde tradelsw. Them

Year ^y	Location	Host	Collector	Name
~1869	Germany	Bryonia cretica	G. Rabenhorst	Sphaerella bryoniae Auersw.
~1870–1874	Czech Rep.	Bryonia alba	G. Niessl	Sphaerella bryoniae Auersw.
1876	England, UK	Bryonia alba	C. Plowright	Sphaeria bryoniae Fuckel
~1870- 1881	Hessen, Germany	Bryonia sp.	L. Fuckel	Didymella bryoniae (Fuckel) Rehm
~1885	Italy	Cucumis melo	G. Passerini	Didymella melonis Pass.
1891	New York, USA (Cornell greenhouse)	Cucumis sativus	W. Dudley	Phyllosticta cucurbitacearum Sacc.
1891	France	Cucumis sativus	C. Roumeguère	Ascochyta cucumis Fautr. & Roum.
1891	Delaware, USA	Citrullus lanatus	F. Chester	Phyllosticta citrullina Chester
1898	Ohio, USA	Cucumis sativus	A. Selby	Phyllosticta cucurbitacearum Sacc.

^zBased on information in Robert et al., 2005, Corlett, 1981, and Farr and Rossman, 2010.

^yEstimated years of collection are based on the publication date of the name assigned to the specimen.

considered a noxious weed. *D. bryoniae* has never been found on *B. alba* in the United States (S. J. Novak, pers. comm., 19 June 1997). The most likely reason for this is that *B. alba* is found in arid environments, such as southeastern Washington, that are not conducive for *D. bryoniae*. In addition, the phenology of *B. alba* reduces the likelihood of contact between pathogen and host. *B. alba* is deciduous; "the stems emerge from the tuberous root in mid to late spring, and this is after the majority of the years' precipitation has fallen" (S. J. Novak, pers. comm., 19 June 1997).

A Seedborne Cucurbit Pathogen

D. bryoniae may be present both on and in cucurbit seed. One of the first reports to confirm contaminated seed as the source of inoculum for an outbreak of gummy stem blight came from England. In this case, infested seed used for commercial crops of greenhouse cucumbers that developed gummy stem blight produced 6% diseased seedlings in a blotter test (Brown et al., 1970). Certainly, the transition from direct seeding to transplanting cucurbits in modern agricultural systems has heightened the importance of D. bryoniae as a cucurbit pathogen. It consistently, albeit infrequently, appears on cucurbit seedlings in greenhouses, generally when the first true leaf appears. The typical pattern is a dead plant that grew from a contaminated seed (primary infection) surrounded by symptomatic plants (secondary infections). In greenhouse experiments, 11% to 15% of seedlings adjacent to infected source seedlings became infected (Keinath, 1996), although higher rates of infection have been observed in commercial transplant greenhouses (Keinath, unpublished).

van Steekelenburg (1986) demonstrated that cucumber fruit became infected after blossoms were inoculated with D. bryoniae. de Neergaard (1989a) traced the path of infection from conidia applied to the stigma through the style and funiculus to the ovules, which provided evidence of how cucurbit seed becomes infected with D. bryoniae. In a study done at The Danish Seed Health Center for Developing Countries in Copenhagen, cucurbit seeds from several countries were dissected and cultured. D. bryoniae was present primarily on or in the seed coat and perisperm and occurred less frequently on the cotyledons (Lee et al., 1984). In addition, an internal fruit rot of cucumber was reported first in Japan in 1960 and then in Europe and other locations (Kagiwata, 1970; van Steekelenburg, 1986; de Neergaard, 1989a). In Europe, affected fruit lacked any external symptoms. Growth of D. bryoniae as black mycelium also has been observed inside melon (C. melo) and giant pumpkin (C. maxima) fruits (Keinath, unpublished). It is possible that this type of internal fruit infection could lead to seeds becoming infested with D. bryoniae on the outside of the seed coat. Because fruit are externally asymptomatic, they could easily be harvested and processed for seed extraction.

The use of grafted cucurbits further increases the risk of gummy stem blight development from seedborne inoculum. After grafting, plants are held at high humidity or under frequent misting to promote healing of the graft union. These environmental conditions are very favorable for development of gummy stem blight (Arny and Rowe, 1991). Recently, gummy stem blight was observed on grafted watermelon in Tunisia as cankers at the graft union that killed affected plants (Boughalleb et al., 2007). Because gummy stem blight was not observed on non-grafted plants or on leaves, it is likely that the grafted plants became infected in the greenhouse, since it is unlikely that another source of *D. bryoniae* inoculum was present in the arid North African climate.

Interactions between D. bryoniae and its Cucurbit Hosts

D. bryoniae is host-specific on cucurbits (Corlett, 1981). It, like many foliar fungal pathogens, is limited to members of one host

plant family. Given the natural variability in severity of symptoms on inoculated plants, the host from which isolates are recovered has no consistent effect on virulence of isolates on other cucurbit species (Lee et al., 1984; Zúniga, 1999). This implies that isolates of *D. bryoniae* from wild hosts, e.g. *Bryonia* or *Sicyos angulatus*, would readily infect cultivated species. On the other hand, *D. bryoniae* is very well adapted to cucurbits. At least 12 cucurbit genera and 23 species are hosts, i.e. can be infected and become diseased (Table 2). Nevertheless, there are consistent differences among some cucurbits. For example, summer squashes (*C. pepo*) are resistant to—or less susceptible to—gummy stem blight relative to other commonly cultivated cucurbits (Sitterly, 1969; Keinath et al., 2009).

D. bryoniae grows rapidly in planta. Lesion expansion has been estimated to be 0.5 cm in 12 h (Keinath, unpublished). Epidemic progress of 6% (0.06) disease severity per day has been recorded on nonsprayed fall watermelon growing in a conducive environment (Keinath, 1995). The pathogen appears to be tolerant of desiccation after infection and likely survives in planta as dormant mycelium (Chiu and Walker, 1949). It survives in dead, infected host debris for up to 2 years in semi-tropical climates (Keinath, 2008), which is longer than it survived in northern climates (Chiu and Walker, 1949; van Steekelenburg, 1983).

D. bryoniae reproduces readily on all parts of cucurbits, including leaves, petioles, vines, tendrils, stems, pedicels, flowers, peduncles, fruits, seeds, and roots (Chester, 1891; de Neergaard, 1989a; Keinath, 2010; Lee et al., 1984). Since *D. bryoniae* is a necrotrophic fungus, fruiting bodies are found in the center or the oldest part of lesions. In general, pycnidia are formed earlier than pseudothecia, although the opposite also has been reported on cucumber (de Neergaard, 1989b). Mean number of both pseudothecia and pycnidia per square centimeter of leaf lesions ranged from 222 ± 58 to 579 ± 58 (Keinath, 2010). There were no differences in number of fruiting bodies per unit area on different cucurbit species. Thus, all cucurbit hosts could provide a substrate for production of airborne ascospores capable of disseminating the pathogen (Schenck, 1968). However, how far viable ascospores are capable of traveling has not been determined.

Current theory on co-evolution of pathogens and host plants holds that resistance to a pathogen is most likely to occur when the pathogen is present in the plant's center of origin and exerts selection pressure on the host. For example, 14 *Citrullus colocynthis* accessions from Iran (7), Afghanistan (3), Egypt (2), Morocco (1) and Cyprus (1) were very susceptible to *D. bryoniae* (Levi et al., 2001). Because *C. colonythis* is a desert species, and most of the accessions were collected from countries with arid climates, it is

Table 2. Cucurbit genera reported to be infecte	i by	' Didymella l	bryoniae
---	------	---------------	----------

Genus (number of	Common	Primary
species infected)	name	Reference
Bennincasa (1)	wax gourd	Wiant, 1945
Bryonia (3)	bryony	Auerswald, 1869 in Corlett, 1981
Citrullus (2)	watermelon	Chester, 1891
Cucumis (3)	cucumber; melon	Passerini, 1885 in Corlett, 1981
Cucurbita (5)	squash, pumpkin	Grossenbacher, 1909
Cyclanthera (1)	stuffing cucumber	Mendes et al., 1998 in Farr and
		Rossman, 2010
Lagenaria (1)	bottle gourd	Grossenbacher, 1909
Luffa (2)	loofah	Grossenbacher, 1909
Momordica (2)	bitter melon	Wiant, 1945
Sechium (1)	chayote	Wiant, 1945
Sicyos (1)	bur-cucumber	Greene, 1953 in Farr and
		Rossman, 2010
Trichosanthes (1)	snake gourd	Punithalingam and Holliday, 1972

Table 3. Comparison of geographic centers of origin for cultivated cucurbits and current distribution of *Didymella bryoniae*.

Crop	Center of origin	D. bryoniae occurrence
C. pepo ssp. ovifera	Central and eastern USA	USA
C. pepo ssp. pepo	Mexico	Mexico
C. moschata	Mexico; Andean South America	Mexico, Venezuela, Brazil
C. argyrosperma	Mexico, Central America	Mexico, Central America
C. maxima C. lanatus C. colocynthis	Andean South America, Argentina, Uruguay western, southern Africa; Egypt northern Africa; India	Venezuela, Brazil Malawi, Tanzania, South Africa India

^zHost information from Robinson and Decker-Walters, 1997 and Pickersgill, 2007 and distribution of *D. bryoniae* from Farr and Rossman, 2010.

very unlikely that colocynth co-evolved with *D. bryoniae*. On the other hand, citron (*C. lanatus* var. *citroides*), which originated in southern Africa where *D. bryoniae* occurs, was the least susceptible of three *Citrullus* taxa evaluated (Levi et al., 2001). Citron also was less susceptible to gummy stem blight than watermelon cultivars (Keinath et al., 2009). In addition, *Cucurbita* spp. generally are less susceptible to gummy stem blight than *Citrullus lanatus* or *Cucumis* spp. (Keinath et al., 2009). This reduced susceptibility may be because the centers of origin and prehistoric ranges of *Cucurbita* spp. generally fall within geographic areas in which *D. bryoniae* currently is found (Table 3). Plants of *Cucurbita* spp. affected with crown cankers, to which *C. argyrosperma* is particularly susceptible, generally still produce an abundance of fruits, an important adaptation to colonization by a pathogen for the plant—and the domesticator.

In summary, the earliest reports of *D. bryoniae* were from *Bryonia* spp. in Europe in the second half of the 19th century. By the early 20th century, *D. bryoniae* had been found on most commonly cultivated cucurbits on both sides of the Atlantic Ocean. Currently, *D. bryoniae* is part of the global movement of pathogens and plant products, as it travels from continent to continent on and in seed. Because the fungus is well-adapted to cucurbits, it is able to rapidly colonize host tissue and reproduce abundantly. Thus, it is ideally suited to continue to plague cucurbits grown in humid environments.

Literature Cited

- Arny, C. J. and Rowe, R. C. 1991. Effects of temperature and duration of surface wetness on spore production and infection of cucumbers by *Didymella bryoniae*. Phytopathology 81:206-209.
- Boughalleb, N., El Mahjoub, M., Abad-Campos, P., Pérez-Sierra, A. García-Jiménez, J., and Armengol, J. 2007. First report of gummy stem blight caused by *Didymella bryoniae* on grafted watermelon in Tunisia. Plant Dis. 91:468.
- Brown, M. E., Howard, E. M., and Knight, B. C. 1970. Seed-borne Mycosphaerella melonis on cucumber. Plant Pathol. 19:198.
- Chester, F. D. 1891. Notes on three new or noteworthy diseases of plants. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 18:371-374.
- Chiu, W. F., and Walker, J. C. 1949. Physiology and pathogenicity of the cucurbit black-rot fungus. J. Agric. Res. 78:589-615.
- Corlett, M. 1981. A taxonomic survey of some species of *Didymella* and *Didymella*like species. Can. J. Bot. 59:2116-2042.
- de Neergaard, E. 1989a. Histological investigation of flower parts of cucumber infected by *Didymella bryoniae*. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 11: 28-38.
- de Neergaard, E. 1989b. Studies of *Didymella bryoniae* (Auersw.) Rehm: Development in the host. J. Phytopathology 127:107-115.

Farr, D.F., and Rossman, A.Y. 2010. Fungal Databases, Systematic Mycology and

Microbiology Laboratory, ARS, USDA. Retrieved May 6, 2010.

- Grossenbacher, J. G. 1909. A *Mycosphaerella* wilt of melons. New York (Geneva) Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bull. 9:193-229.
- Kagiwata, T. 1970. Brown heart rot of the cucumber by *Mycosphaerella melonis* (Pass.) Chieu et Walker, and its control. Rev. Plant Prot. Res. 3:94-97.
- Keinath, A. P. 1995. Fungicide timing for optimum management of gummy stem blight epidemics on watermelon. Plant Dis. 79:354-358.
- Keinath, A. P. 1996. Spread of *Didymella bryoniae* from contaminated watermelon seed and transplants in greenhouse and field environments, p. 65-72. In: S. G. Pandalai (ed.). Recent Research Developments in Plant Pathology, Vol. 1. Research Signpost, Trivandrum, India.
- Keinath, A. P. 2008. Survival of *Didymella bryoniae* in infested muskmelon crowns in South Carolina. Plant Dis. 92:1223-1228.
- Keinath, A. P. 2010. Susceptibility of cucurbit species to gummy stem blight and their suitability for reproduction by *Didymella bryoniae*. Proc. Cucurbitaceae 2010 (in press).
- Keinath, A. P., DuBose, V. B., and Walters, E. 2009. Susceptibility to gummy stem blight of 16 cultivars of various cucurbits. Plant Dis. Managem. Rep. 3:V036 (online).
- Lee, D.-H., Mathur, S. B., and Neergaard, P. 1984. Detection and location of seed-borne inoculum of *Didymella bryoniae* and its transmission in seedlings of cucumber and pumpkin. Phytopathol. Z. 109:301-308.
- Levi, A., Thomas, C. E., Keinath, A. P., and Wehner, T. C. 2001. Genetic diversity among *Citrullus lanatus* and *Citrullus colocynthis* accessions. Genet. Res. Crop Evol. 48:559-566.
- Novak, S. J., and Mack, R. N. 1995. Allozyme diversity in the apomictic vine *Bryonia alba* (Cucurbitaceae): potential consequences of multiple introductions. Am. J. Bot. 82:1153-1162.
- Pickersgill, B. 2007. Domestication of plants in the Americas: insights from Mendelian and molecular genetics. Annals Bot. 100:925-940.
- Punithalingam, E., and Holliday, P. 1972. *Didymella bryoniae*. CMI Description of Pathogenic Fungi and Bacteria. No. 332. Commonwealth Mycol. Inst., Kew.
- Robert, V., Stegehuis, G., and Stalpers, J. 2005. The MycoBank engine and related databases. http://www.mycobank.org.
- Robinson, R. W., and Decker-Walters, D. S. 1997. Cucurbits. CAB International, New York.
- Schenck, N. C. 1968. Epidemiology of gummy stem blight (*Mycosphaerella citrullina*) on watermelon: Ascospore incidence and disease development. Phytopathology 58:1420-1422.
- Sitterly, W. R. 1969. Effect of crop rotation on cucumber gummy stem blight. Plant Dis. Reptr. 53:417-419.
- van Steekelenburg, N.A. M. 1983. Epidemiological aspects of *Didymella bryoniae*, the cause of stem and fruit rot of cucumber. Neth. J. Plant Pathol. 89:75-86.
- van Steekelenburg, N.A. M. 1986. Factors influencing internal fruit rot of cucumber caused by *Didymella bryoniae*. Neth. J. Plant Pathol. 92:81-81.

Wiant, J. S. 1945. Mycosphaerella black rot of cucurbits. J. Agric. Res. 71:193-213.

Zúniga, T. L. 1999. Gummy stem blight (*Didymella bryoniae*) of cucurbits: Pathogen characterization and inheritance of resistance in melon (*Cucumis melo*). PhD Diss., Dept. of Plant Pathology, Cornell Univ., Ithaca.

Poster Presentations

Posters will be displayed and presented on Wednesday, 17 November, from 3:00–5:00 pm in Carolina B

(Listed in alphabetical order. *Denotes presenting author)

Biotechnology & Physiology

Quantification of L-Citrulline and Other Physiologic Amino Acids in Watermelon and Selected Cucurbits

Wayne W. Fish* and Benny D. Bruton

Establishment of *Cucurbita maxima* Genetic Transformation System by Agrobacterium-mediated Method

HongBing Fu, ShuPing Qu, ChongShi Cui, ZhuGang Li*, and Xi Zhao

In Vitro Organogenesis of Cotyledons in Chieh-qua

Wei Han, Ee Liu, Xiaoming He*, Dasen Xie, and Qingwu Peng

Biotic and Abiotic Stress Tolerances are Enhanced in Transgenic Watermelon Overexpressing a Cucumber Galactinol Synthase Gene

Jae-Jong Noh*, Song-Mi Cho, Kwang-Sang Kim, Kwang Yeol Yang, Yong-Kyu Shin, and Baik-Ho Cho

The Comparison of Embryo Rescue and Direct-seeding Method for Generating Haploid Kirkagac and Yuva-Hasanbey Melons

Irmak Gursoy, Ilknur Solmaz, Serkan Kasapoğlu, Nebahat Sari*, Csaba Szamosi, and Kyoung-Sub Park

Directional Selection of Precocious Gene by Proton Beam and its Molecular Verification

Sun Xun*, Ren Canghua, Meng Hui, Shi Jinguo, and Tang Zhangxiong

Breeding & Genetics

Progress in the Development of Cucumber Breeding Lines with Low-temperature Resistance

Elzbieta U. Kozik*, Urszula Klosinska, and Todd C. Wehner

The Cucumber Genome Sequence is Useful for Localizing the Dwarf Mutant Bu in the Tropical Pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duchesne) Genome

Shenhao Wang, Haizhen Li, Zhonghua Zhang, Jun He, Changcai Jia, Fan Zhang, and Sanwen Huang*

Construction of Genetic Linkage Map and QTL Analysis for the Traits Related to Plant Height in Cucumber

Chen Xue-hao*, Ji Yi, Xu Qiang, and Liang Guo-hua

Evidence That Resistance to Gummy Stem Blight (*Didymella bryoniae*) in Dudaim Type Melons (*Cucumis melo ssp. agrestis*) is a Quantitative Trait Kevin Crosby*, Daniel Leskovar, and Marvin Miller

Construction of an Intravarietal Genetic Map of Cucumber and its Comparison with the Melon Genetic Map

Nobuko Fukino*, Yosuke Yoshioka, Yoshiteru Sakata, and Satoru Matsumoto

Characterization of Fruit Ripening in the Melon Near Isogenic Line SC3-5

J. Vegas, J. Garcia-Mas*, and A.J. Monforte

Improvement of Inositol-rich Pumpkin Germplasm and New Variety Development

Gu Wei-hong*, Yang Hong-juan, Tang Qing-jiu, and Song Rong-hao

Differences among Citrullus Germplasm Accessions in Tolerance to Clomazone Herbicide

Howard F. Harrison, Jr.*, Chandresekar S. Kousik, and Amnon Levi

Construction of a Linkage Map and Location of QTLS of Resistance to Gummy Stem Blight in Melon

Youyuan Cheng, Longzhou Liu*, Weiming Zhu, and Zhenghoug Su

Development and Application of SSR-SNP Markers in Luffa

Wing-Yee Liu*, Kai-Cheong Ng, and Frederick C. Leung

Genetic Diversity in Watermelon Germplasm using SSR Markers

Yunyan Sheng, Feisi Luan*, and Faxing Zhang

Integrated Pest Management of Sweetpotato Whitefly and Cucurbit Yellow Stunting Disorder for Melon Production in the Desert Southwest United States

James D. McCreight*, Todd C. Wehner, and Angela R. Davis

Characterization of the Genetic Diversity of *Citrullus lanatus* var. *lanatus* Oleaginous in Ivory Coast at the Scale of a Village: Preliminary Results

L.-A. Minsart* and P. Bertin

Vegetative Growth in Bush *Cucurbita maxima* × Vine *Cucurbita moschata* Interspecies Hybrids

J. Brent Loy and Jacob B. Uretsky*

Culture & Management

Importance of Cucurbits in Market-gardening Production Systems

Tristan Nondah*

The Value of Fallow Crops for Soil Properties and Watermelon Yield in Plastic Film House Production

Mi Jeong Uhm*, Jae Jong Noh, Hyong Gwon Chon, Jeong Hyeon Lim, Mun Ho Seong, and Yong Kyu Shin

Kabocha Squash Variety Evaluations in New Jersey M.L. Casella and C.A. Wyenandt*

Influence of Plant Density on Yield and Quality in Grafted Watermelon onto Bottle Gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) Rootstocks

Halit Yetışır* and Nebahat Sarı

Plant Growth Characteristics in Watermelon Grafted onto Different Bottle Gourds (*Lagenaria siceraria*) Collected from Southern and Western Turkey

Fatih Karaca, Halit Yetışır*, Elif Çandir, İlknur Solmaz, Nebahat Sarı, Şener Kurt, and Mehmet E. Çalişkan

Germplasm

Turkmenistan Melon (*Cucumis melo*), and Watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) Germplasm Expedition 2008

James D. McCreight*, Todd C. Wehner, and Angela R. Davis

Identification of Sources of Resistance to Gummy Stem Blight in Watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.)]

M. Pitchaimuthu*, P. Chowdappa, R. Pushpalatha, Santhoesh Kumar, and K. Souravi

Identification of Resistance to Acidovorax avenae subsp. citrulli among Melon (Cucumis spp.) Plant Introductions

W. Patrick Wechter*, Kai-Shu Ling, Amnon Levi, Chandrasekar Kousik, and Charles C. Block

Grafting & Rootstocks

Employing Phylogenetic Analysis to Identify Rootstock Resources for Grafted Watermelon

Amnon Levi*, Judy Thies, Patrick Wechter, Chandrasekar Kousik, Richard Hassell, and Umesh Reddy

Grafting Improvement on Photosynthesis and Carbohydrate Metabolism of Muskmelon Leaves

Yifei Liu*, Tianlai Li, Hongyan Qi, Weizhi Chen, Mingfang Qi, and Chunming Bai

Plant Pathology

Phenotypic Diversity of *Phytophthora capsici* Isolates from a Worldwide Collection

L.L. Granke*, L.M. Quesada-Ocampo, and M.K. Hausbeck

Cucurbitaceae 2010

Effect of Reflective Mulch and Insecticidal Treatments on Development of Watermelon Vine Decline Caused by Squash Vein Yellowing Virus

Chandrasekar S. Kousik*, Scott Adkins, Craig G. Webster, William W. Turechek, Phil Stansly, and Pamela D. Roberts

Temporal Population Dynamics of Cucurbit Powdery Mildews (*Golovinomyces cichoracearum* and *Podosphaera xanthii*) in the Czech Republic

Božena Sedláková and Aleš Lebeda*

Differences in Virulence of *Phytophthora capsici* Isolates from a Worldwide Collection on Zucchini Fruits

L.M. Quesada-Ocampo*, L.L. Granke, and M.K. Hausbeck

Fungicides for Organic Cantaloupe Production in Oklahoma: An Initial Assessment

Jim Shrefler, Merritt Taylor, Warren Roberts, and Benny D. Bruton*

"Practical" Fungicide Resistance and Cross-resistance Development in Cucurbit Powdery Mildew of Pumpkin in New Jersey

Christian A. Wyenandt*, Daniel L. Ward, and Nancy L. Maxwell

Pathogenicity of *Verticillium dahliae* to Gourd Species and Effectiveness of Gourd Rootstocks against Verticillium Wilt in Watermelon Production

Sibel Dervis, Halit Yetışır*, and Fatih Karaca

Incidence and Transmission of Zucchini Yellow Mosaic Potyvirus (ZYMV) in Cucurbits in Hatay Province of Turkey

Gülşen Sertkaya, Erdal Sertkaya, Halit Yetişir*, and Kamuran Kaya

Postharvest

Storage and Shelf Life of Grafted Watermelons

A. Erhan Ozdemir*, Elif Candir, Halit Yetisir, Veysel Aras, Omer Arslan, Fatih Karaca, and Durmus Ustun

Comparison of Methods for Chlorophyll Estimation in Cucumber Peel

Penelope Perkins-Veazie*, Guoying Ma, and Todd Wehner

Virology

Screening Summer Squash Cultivars (Cucurbita pepo

L.) for Resistance to Squash vein yellowing virus Susan E. Webb

Incidence and Molecular Characterization of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus in Cucurbit Crops of North West Frontier Province, Pakistan

Asad Ali*, Adil Hussain, Musharaf Ahmad, and Ishrat Naz

Gucurbitaceae 2010

14–18 November 2010 Francis Marion Hotel Charleston, South Carolina

Conference Organizers Dr. Judy Thies, Chair Dr. Amnon Levi Dr. Shaker Kousik

